G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

File No. 356-16

Serial Nos. 1—6

1916.

(Puzzai)

Later references.

215-18

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1—6.

Part B for Nov. 17

SUBJECT.

Appeal petn. from Devappa Wodaya against the Dy. Commr's order re the lease of certain inam lands endowed to Sri Hanmantha Raya Swamy temple at Mandi Doddi Koppa in the Solab Taluk

---

To, Dy. Commr. Shimoga (No. 1067 Dy. 9.11.17).

Forwards a copy of the G. O. passed in the case directing the dismissal of the appeal petn. confirming the order of the Dy. Commr.

No. 1068 Dy. 9.11.17. Informs the above to applnt. & respdts.

W D 88—GPB—50,000—22-7-18

Chadwai.
20.2.18.

R. S. 11] 1916-7

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Mysore

NOTES.

356-16
File No.

Appeal petn by one Dwappa Wodeya against Dr's order
cancelling Inam lands endowed to Sree Hanumanthe-
raya Swamy Temple at Moodi Doddi
in the Somb Dk.

From

Dwappa Wodeya bin Gundappa Wodeya
of Moodi Doddi Kshpa

No. Appl petn Dated 15.12.16 Received

Diary No. 980	Enclos. 4	Spare Copies.	Serial No 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Appeals against the Dy Com's order dt 14.11.16, declining to reconsider the order already passed by him in the case on 28.8.16, directing that the Inam lands of the above Temple be put up for public sale & the lease sold to the highest bidder, and to cancel the sale already effected under his orders.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The petr says that the lands in question have been in poss[n] & enjoyment of the petr's family for a long time past & the stipulated services ever since being attended to by his family

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB - 20 006—8 8-14

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

Serial No.

the above Temple (as detailed in para 3 of this petn). He says, further, that the village of Moodi Dodi Koppa is split up into factions, and that on the representation of a few belonging to his opposite party that services in the Temple are not being regularly conducted, the Dk amildar, without holding any enquiry or giving the petr an opportunity to have his say, has reported that the lands shd be taken under Govt management & that relying on this report, the Dc has passed the order now appealed against by him.

The petr states that he is be-hoved to ~~[illegible]~~ subscribe to any orders Govt may be pleased to direct with regard to the services to be performed in the Temple and to bear any addl burdens that may be imposed as a guarantee for the due per-formance of the stipulated

Govt

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. . S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

9:0 C3

File No. 356 of 1916.

Serial No.

It the Lenible Prays that pending disposal of this appeal, the execution of the D. Courts order may be ordered to be kept in abeyance.

The petn is stamped & in time.

Subd for orders.

Bg 18/12/16. May the records be called for? He prays for a Stay order. (The original order of the D. Cr has not been produced but only orders passed on Review petitions are produced

ann 19/12/16

As the order now appealed against involves the disposession of immovable property in the enjoyment of the appellant, the execution of the Comm's order may be stayed pending the disposal of the appeal (1 M. member)

Bg 20.12.16

1230 22.12.16

Bg ann 23/12

23/12

NOTE—Contn. sheet

(P 3- 70,000 17 12)

1770

G. R. S. 12

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 356 of 191 16

Serial No.

D&L-letter forwarding the below D by Court for report with record & for staying the execution of the D by Court's order with a D&L endorsement below is submitted for admn.

23/12/16

23.12.16.

Admn
23/12/16

2 No. 1601 D 2.1.17, to D&L stating inter alia that execution proceedings connected with his order re transfer of the lease of Inam lands in question pending disposal of this case.

3. From, the Dy Commr, Guntur D&L Letter no H.C. 1152 D 28.4.1917

Submits report called for in Sl. 2 as well as in Sl 2 of File no. 356-16 (linked), together with the record connected with the appeal.

The Dy. Commr's Report explains the facts of the case.

Submitted with a D&L calling for extracts from the Inam register

W(4) 10-5-17 for appl

Comm 10/5/17

Appd
DL
17/5/17

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPL—70 000—17 12 15

1934

WD 1283—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 356 of 1916

Serial No.	
4	No: 2865 dt 21.5.17, to the Supdt of Inam Settlements, calls for extracts from the detailed Inam register pertaining to the grant to the deities of lands referred to in Sl. supra.
5	From, the Inam Supdt in his office, Lr no. 133 dt 30-5-17. Forwards in Cy. of the Inam register called for in Sl. 4. In the Inam register, the lands are noted as Devadaya, the object of the grant being Puje, Nandadeepa & other services entered in Col 8 of the Register. According to the chittas of 1870, Veerappa Vader, Sampa Bhatta and Bheemachar were in possession of portions of the Inam lands (vide Col 16) During the Inam settlement in Dec 1874, the enjoyers were Veerappa Vader, Sampa Bhatta and Krishna Bai, and the specific services which these had to perform are also noted in Col 15. May the case be posted for hearing? Submitted for orders. MN (Sd) 12.6.17 13/6/17 Yr. Pvt. Sec 14/6/17

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 356 of 1916

Serial No.

Submd. with notices to the 4th July.

W(94) 22-6-17

appr

22/6/17

This is a typical case where the institution for whose benefit the inam lands were given, have been denied the full advantage of the grant and participation in the increased profits.

The inam lands were granted for puja, naivadiya, Carfestival vaguetati and pavamanabhishekam i.e for all services in the temple. The lands were divided — it is difficult to say under what authority — between the Patel of the village who had the responsibility to see to the performance of the Services and certain officials who saw to the abhishekam. No portion of the income was set apart for the repairs of the building and for the Carfestival with the result that the building is now reported to be in a dilapidated condition.

The present appellants are in the position of trustees and should

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

MD 1203—GPD—60,000—1-12-16—S.

Serial No.

Not obviously claim any beneficiary interest in the property on the score that the lands have been in their enjoyment for a long time. If they are performing any service personally ~~any basis~~ in the temple, they may be paid for it. Such service having included in the Scale of expenditure. The first care is to bring the temple building in a proper state of repair for which funds have to be accumulated.

lea
(1st member). 6.7.17

Messrs Varadachari and Krishnaswamy for appellants Guddappa and Mahalala-bhatta respectively: these appts were present. Respondents absent. Appt Krishnabai absent Case heard and orders reserved.

K.S.
6.7.17

275
21.7.

ND 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

Serial No.

Hfdl. W 23 of 1916-17

Order

[illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

ND 12oJ—G1 L—60 000—1 12-16—S.

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 356 of 1916

Serial No.

[illegible]

13/10/17

[illegible]

15/10/17

18/10/17

Submitted. Note Dft.

AG(93)
26.10 Dy Secy) appd

[illegible]

29/10/17

[illegible]

28/10/17.

6 No: 1067 dt 9.11.17, to Dept of Shipping for a Copy of the S.O passed in the case directing the dismissal of the appl petn, confirming the said order

No: 1068 - [illegible]

NOTE—Contn sheet

TWO RUPEES

DIARY No 980

19/6/19 17

356-16

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE, BANGALORE.

Muzrai Appeal No. of 1916-17.

Devappa Woleya bin Cundappa Wodaya ..... Appellant

V.S.

1. Mallakappa

2. Kammar Siddappa ..... Respondents.

3. Totada Puttappa

The appellant above-named begs to prefer the following appeal against the order of the Deputy Commissioner of ~~Shi~~ Shimoga (?) ~~taluka~~ communicated to the appellant through the endorsement herewith filed and dated 14-11-1916, on the following among other grounds:--

1. Three lands situated in the village of Local Doddi Koppa, Soraba Taluk, viz., Survey Numbers 114, 257, and 280 respectively, stand in the Name of the deity Hanumantharaya of the said village, being Inam lands attached to the said temple.

2. The said three lands have been in the exclusive and uninterrupted possession and enjoyment of the appellant

in his family for more than hundred years or so, as can be seen from the revenue records.

3. It has been customary in the appellant's family to render certain services at the Hanumanthna Devara Temple, viz., (a) to cause the daily pooja of the deity to be properly performed, (b) to light the Lamps Deepa and (c) to perform the Navaratri and Kartika Utsava, in return for the enjoyment of the Inam lands and the produce thereof.

4. The appellant also, like his predecessors, has been duly and properly performing the said services to the satisfaction of the majority of the villagers and also of the [illegible] Revenue Authorities.

5. The village of Koodi Doddakoppa, however, is torn into factions. About 10 or 12 persons belonging to the faction opposed to that of the appellant, appear to have complained to the Authorities behind the back of the appellant, to the effect that the appellant was not rendering proper services at the temple &c.

6. Thereupon, the Amildar of [illegible] without holding any formal enquiryinto the matterand without notice to the appellant and giving him a chance of meeting the complaints against him appears to have held that the charges against the appellant were well

founded and recommended the change of lands of the Inam lands in question.

7. Thereupon, upon the orders of the Deputy Commissioner of ~~Chitaldrug~~ Shimoga the said lands were put up for sale and sold on 16-4-1916 in favour of the respondents for ₹ 130, the condition of the above-sale being that the respondents should enjoy the said lands for a period of five years paying annually ₹ 130/- therefor.

8. The sale above referred to works as a very great hardship on the appellant and involves irreparable loss and damage to him and his family.

9. Nothing has been judicially proved against the appellant by way of misconduct or neglect, no warning given to him, much less a chance of proving his innocence.

10. In a village containing a population of nearly 1500 persons, the highly interested statements of 10 or 12 persons have been given undue weight and importance to the prejudice of the appellant.

11. Lands which have been in the possession and enjoyment of the appellant's family for nearly 100 years are now ordered to be diverted from the possession of that family on very inadequate and unsatisfactory grounds, contrary to express and well-recognised revenue rules.

12. The appellant is prepared to obey any instruction from the Muzrai Authorities as regards the services he has to render hereafter, and prepared to bear even such additional burdens as the said Authorities may be pleased in their discretion to impose

13. Under the circumstances the appellant respectfully prays that the sale of the lands in favour of the respondents may kindly be cancelled.

14. The appellant further respectfully prays that pending the hearing and disposal of this appeal, this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to direct that the order of the Deputy Commissioner under appeal may not be given effect to but stayed and held in abeyance.

15. Lands for the cultivation and improvement of which thousands of rupees have been spent on the security of the appellant's title therefor will involve the ruin of the appellant's family if at this stage he is compelled to hand over possession to the respondents, which change of hands will also involve other complicated questions which it is not desirable to avoid

Mangalore,
15th Decbr. 1916.

D. Devadiga. appellant

Before

~~In the Court of the~~

ಅಂ RUPEES ೩೫-೮ Ceylone

Mysore Appeal **Case No.** **of 1916–1917.**

Appellant ~~*Plaintiff*~~ *Respondent* ~~*Defendent*~~

Devappa Vodeya & Another

Gundappa Wodeya Vs. Mallikappa & others.

1. The ..... appellants ..... above named do, by these presents retain Messrs. C. S. DORASWAMI IYER, B.A., B.L., M. G. VARADACHAR, B.A., B.L., Advocates to appear and conduct the above case on ..... behalf to Judement.

2. Further empower them to execute any decree or order that may be passed in..... .....behalf, and to receive from Court such sums of money as..... may be entitled to get and to grant receipts therefor.

3. Also undertake to confirm and ratify all the legal acts done by the said Advocates in so doing.

D. Devappa Wodeya

Accepted

M. Varadachar

Advocates for ~~*Appellant*~~

15/12/16.

Signed before me and personally known to me.

M. Varadachar

Advocates.

(13)

Received for P. S. Kush
dte. 28/7/17

2 ರೂ TWO RUPEES ೨-ರೂ

.....ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ.

Before the Govt of H H the Maharaja of Mysore
Revision appeal No 23 of 1916 1917

ನಂ 19 = ನೇ ನಂಬರು

ಫಿರ್ಯಾದಿ ವಾದಿ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ

[redacted] Vs [redacted]

ಮಾನ್ಯ ರಾವ್ ಬಿ. ಎಸ್ ಕೃಷ್ಣಸ್ವಾಮಿಅಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್, ಬಿ. ಎ., ಬಿ. ಎಲ್, ಅಡ್ವೊಕೇಟ್ ಯವರಿಗೆ

[redacted] ಬರೆಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್ ನಾಮಾ

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಮೊಖದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಅಪೈರುವರಿಗೂ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ನಿವಾದ ಜರುಗಿಸಲಿಕ್ಕೂ, ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಆಗುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ವಿಧವಾದ ಆರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನೂ, ಡಿಕ್ರಿಯನ್ನೂ ಜ್ಞಾರಿ ಕ್ರಮ ನಡೆಸಿ ಹಣ ವಸೂಲು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೂ, ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ನನಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲಬೇಕಾಗುವ ಡಿಕ್ರಿ ಮೊಬಲಗು, ಶಿಲ್ಕು ಮೊಬಲಗು ಸಹಾ ಪಡೆಯಲಿಕೂ ನನಗಾಗಿ ರೀಫಂಡ್ ಆಗತಕ್ಕ ಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಂಪನ್ನು ಪಡೆಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ, ಖರ್ಚೈವಜು ವಗೈರೆಗಳನ್ನು ವಾಪಸು ವಡೆಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ ಸಹ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ತಮಗೆ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

ಈ ಆಧಾರದ ಮೇಲೆ ತಾವಾಗಲೀ ಮತ್ತು ತಮಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಮತ್ಯಾವ ಲಾಯರಾಗಲಿ ಡಿಪೋ ಮೊಖದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಡಸುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನೂ ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡೆಸಿದಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಬದ್ದನಾಗಿ ಇದ್ದೇನೆ.

ತಾರೀಖು } ರುಜು.

ಈ ಮೊಕ್ತ್ಯಾರುನಾಮಾ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಗೆ ಪರಿಚಯಸ್ತರಾಗಿ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ರುಜುಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಈ ಮೊಕ್ತ್ಯಾರುನಾಮಾ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿ ಇದ್ದೇನೆ.

[redacted]

17-7-1917

[illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] 1910

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 114-257-280 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 141 [illegible]

[illegible] 1-11-915 [illegible]

[illegible] 11 12 1915 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 10/11/915

Muniswamy

[illegible]

R. Dis No: 30 (Rev) dated 14.1.1916

G. R. S. 26]

Diary No. of letter replied to 980

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 191

Date of issue. 2-1-1917

Signed by M G Srinivasa Iyer

the Sh y execution proce
dings connected with the Dy Com's
Order relating to the lease of the
Inam lands belonging File No. 356-1
to Sree Hanumantha Serial No. 2
Swami at Moodi Doddi
Koppa in Sorab Tk

No. 1604 Encs

To

The Dy Comm
Shimoga Dist

Sir,

In forwarding here
-with for report with con
nected records, petn Regd
No: 980 dt 15.12.16, recd
from one Devappa Wodeya
bin Gundappa Wodeya
of Moodi Doddi Koppa
in Sorab Tk, appealing
against the order of
the Dy Comm dt 14.11.16

over. in

SUBJECT.

Sir,

In the matter of the appeal
of [illegible] against the order
confirming the lease of certain
Crown lands endorsed
to Sree Hanuman Mandir
Swami at the place,
I am directed to request
that the order under appeal
may be kept in
abeyance till the
disposal of this appeal
by the Govt.

I have the
honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient
servant,
23.12.16
for Secretary to Govt.
Revenue Department

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

GPP—20 C0 —10-10 14

_____ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

_____ ಹೋಬಳಿ

ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವ

_____ _____ಗೆ

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ ಹು

2863

Endorsement No 1605 dt 2.1.1917

With reference to his petn legislative No: 980 dt 15.12.16 appealing against the order of the Dy Comr of Shimoga Distt in the matter of leasing the Inam lands belonging to Sree Hanumantha Devaru at Moodi Doddi Koppa, Sorabde & praying ~~for stay order~~ that the above ~~Dy~~ order of the Dy Comr may be directed to be kept in abeyance pending disposal of the appeal ~~petn~~, the Swamy Vadiya ~~of the said~~ Vadiya of Moodi Doddi Koppa is informed that his petn has been referred to the Dc, Shimoga Distt for report ~~with records~~ and ~~with the report~~ that the order appealed against ~~may be stayed~~ be kept in abeyance till the disposal of the case by the Govt. ~~after the appeal~~

By order

Sd
23/12/16

ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿಕ್ಕೊಂಡ

ನಂಬ್ಕುಮಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಬಗ್ಗೆ

_____

_____ರವಕ ಬಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ.

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

By Order,

Secretary

Sd
23/12/16
23/12/16 for Secy to Govt.
Dev't and Dept

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No_____

The accompanying Petition Registered No_____dated_____ received at the General and Revenue Secretariat from _____



is forwarded, together with its enclosures, to the_____

_____

_____for_____

By Order,

Secretary.

BANGALORE,
_____191 .

P. T. O.

W D 882 -GPB—1 000—10 11 15

1770

16 17

Mar

376-16

From

The Deputy Commissioner of

Shimoga District.

The Secretary to the Government

General Department, Bangalore.

Dated 28th April 1917.

Despatched

Received

General No. R.C.1152(Rev)1916-17.

No.

Enclosure No. 3 files

Re the mismanagement of [illegible] month revenue of [illegible] village of [illegible] taluk and interference of Government

---

R.O.1152(Rev)1916-17. Office of the Deputy

Dated Shimoga 28th April 1917

From

A. Subramanya Iyer, Esquire, B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Shimoga District.

To

D.M.Narasimha Rao, Esquire, B.A.B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

General Department,

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your Nos.1604 Muz: 356-16-2 dated the 2nd January 1917 and 3337 Muz: 376-16-2 dated the 21st March 1917 forwarding petition from one Devappa Wodeya and Krishnaoayee, ahableswarabhatta respectively for report with connected records, I have the honor to state as follows:-

S.Nos.111-257-280-117 and 305 of the village Mudi in Sorab Taluk wi a total extent of acres 21 guntas 11 assessed at Rs.95/- were endowed to Sree Hanumantha Devaru of the village.

2. S.Nos.114, 257 and 280 were in the management of Guddappa Wodeya, father of the appellant, S.No.117 to that of Krishnabhatta and S.No.305 in that of Samabhatta and Mahabaleswarabhatta.

3. On the receipt of complaint against the managers of these lands for their mismanagement and failure to perform services in the temple since the year 1902, orders were issued for enquiry and report in the matter.

4. These managers executed muchalikas on the 15th December 1915 binding themselves to the proper management and services of the temple and also stating that they have no objection if the Government were to interfere in case of any omission on their part. One of the managers by name Guddappa Wodeya, the father of one of the appellants, who was enjoying the greater portion of the Inam lands gave a statement on the 7th May 1915 binding himself to repair the temple within a month from that date.

5. The Amildar of Sorab Taluk in his report No.98 dated the 10th August 1915 stated that the affairs of the temple were not satisfactory, that the temple was in a dilapidated condition and that the managers maintained no

no accounts and therefore recommended that the lands be taken over for management by Government.

6. Thereupon the Amildar was ordered in this Office No.141 dated the 1st November 1915 to resume the lands and to sell the Saguvali Hak for a period of five years by public auction and to submit his report for approval.

7. The Amildar having served notices on all the managers in the month of November 1915 issued a proclamation of sale of lease (Saguvali hak) for being published in the village and also in the neighbouring villages with his No.492 dated the 10th April 1916. The Amildar conducted the sale on the 16th April 1916 and obtained a mutchalika, from the purchasers of Saguvali Hak viz: (1)Siddanna (2) Veerabhadrappa (3) Malakappa (4)Puttappa (5) Basavannappa on the same date.

8. The Amildar in his report No.567 dated the 16th May 1916 states he has realised a sum of Rs.260/- in all by the above sale which will leave a net sum of Rs.244-5-0 to the credit of the institution after paying up a jodi of Rs.15-11-0 including cess to Government.

9. The sale was confirmed in this Office No. P.C.18(Rev)1916-17 dated the 28th August 1916.

10. As regards the Government order to keep the order of this Office in abeyance till the disposal of the appeal, I beg to state that this Office order was given effect to long before the receipt of Government order on the sale subject.

11. The appeal petitions with the enclosures and one file of vernacular records are herewith submitted.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,

Shimoga District.

R.S.P.

G. R. S. 26]

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.
TYPING Sec.
17 MAY 1917

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

2

File No. 356-16

Serial No. 4

No. 2865

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191 .

To

The Supt. of Inam Settlements in Mysore,

Sir,

Date of issue 21. 5. 917

Signed by M. C. Aravamudan for

I am directed to request that you will be so good as to furnish this office with extracts from the detailed Inam register pertaining to the grant of the marginally-noted lands in the village of Mudi in the Sorab Taluq as inam to the temple of Sri Hanumantha Devaru in the village

over.

S. no.	A. G.	assessment R. & P.
114	5-19	21--
257	3-5	15--
280	4-13	21--
117	4-18	19--
305	3-26	19--
	21-11	95--

SUBJECT.

GPO/1215

24
Village.

I have etc.

Sec
17.5.17

1857 Joint Muz. Secretary

10/5/17

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—20,000—10-10 14

No. 133
Enc. one

WD 067—GPB—200—20-4-16

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT OF
INAM SETTLEMENTS IN MYSORE.

Dated Bangalore, 30th May . . 1917

1934

FROM

D. K. DARASHAH, ESQ., B.A.,
Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore,
Bangalore.

16 C 7

TO

G. Aravamudu Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.
Joint Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Inuzrai *Department.*

SIR,

As requested in your letter No. 2865 Inuz 356-16-4 dated 21st May 1917, I have the honor to forward herewith, a copy of the Inam Register pertaining to the grant of Inam lands to Sree Hammantadevaru at Mudi in the Soraba Taluk.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
D K Darashah
Superintendent of Inam
Settlements in Mysore

Register Sheet NO 112

Village - Hudi Dodkoppa

Taluk - Sorab

District - Shimoga

Copy of the Register of Inams in the village of Hosadi Dodda

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village	General class to which the Inam belongs	Survey No and name of field or fields comprised in the grant Dry, wet or garden	Extent Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, guntas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column	Extent Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals
1	2	3	4	5
1	Devadaya.	Sur. No 94 +	Wet	4.45
		261 +	Wet	3 90
		94 +	Wet	5 35
			Garden	.15
+ under Sirkar tank.		214 +	Wet	3.13
		236 +	Wet	4.25
			Dry	.07
				21.30
			as per acct 1809	
			5 Cau 6 Coss	
			= 10.97	

Note:

No record of extent of Sirkar lands in the village - under Pros. No 17 of 13.2.1871 the old beriz inclusive of the Sattina hankalu lands is taken from Mirasdana (1832)

Duly Certified to be the most trustworthy return available.

old Sirkar beriz	Survey
Wet 513 Pags. 1 Fan	Wet 3044-12
	Dry 466-12
	3511 8

of Tavarekere in the Taluk of Sorab

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND
Old assessment	Survey assessment	Description of Inam If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, etc., whether they are efficiently kept up Hereditary or for life
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the raiyats to the Inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7		Whether free of tax, etc., or liable to quit-rent, i. e., jodi, etc., amount of latter to be entered
6	7	8
	Survey 18 - 4 - 18 - 0 - 0 19 - 0 - 0 2 - 0 - 0 14 - 0 - 0 19 - 0 - 0 90 - -	For huje, Nanda deepam, Car festival on Nagara - Panchami and vajroostoti and Havamana Abhishekam in Sravana to Hanemantha devar of the village Temple in good order Services Continued
9 Pagodas 3 1/8 Fanams = 35 - 3 - 6.		Sirkar 3 - 13 - 1

9 coveu (extra) Resolve Subject to 9 Settle 27/73

The Scale is 1 Fan = 0 - 10 - 11

(= 1 Rag 6 - 13 - 2)

98

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE IN

By whom granted and in what year	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sannad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession. In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, etc., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given.
10	11.

As per jamabandy of 1871-72

	Sist	Patty	Total
Dry	20-15-2	-	20-15-2
Wet	1125-12-4	705 0.5	1830.12.9
	1146. 11. 6	705-0 5	1857. 11 11

Benta	Balli	Total
-	-	20.15.2
316.6.8	-	2145.3.5
316-6-8		2166.2.7

Shroff 6

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Poorniah up to 1810	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810
12	13	14
—	1809 Hanumantha dewar 5 Can 6 cood =10 97 Krishnaraj	1822 Same oottar 8 Pag 3 fans.
	1802 Wat Staea ₹ 7-5-0 P. 9-0-0 of which Circar 0-7-0 Remainder P. 8-3-0	1858 Same oottar 31-6-5 Sirkar 3-13-1
		Chitta 1870 Veeraffa vadeu oottar 47-7-11 Sirkar 0-11-4
		Samtabhatta oottai 2-9-0 Sirkar 3-1-9
		Pahani Beemachar 11-5-6 free

of the

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS

Particulars regarding present owner If the inam has been subdivided, the name, etc., of each sharer should be entered in the columns			
Residence, name and age, etc.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year
15	16	17	18
Moodu Hanumanthadevar villagers manage the land is enjoyed as follows.— No. 97 Krishnabaya, Widow		who herforms vayushuti ~ abhishekam in Sravana.	
No. 261 Sampabhatta		who herforms Pavamana Abhishekam.	
Nos. 94, 214 and 236 are enjoyed by Patel veeratha ~~vaddar~~ who gets the other services herformed the hooje is herformed by Pasamnah.			

Division

LENGTH OF POSSESSION

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation.	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner
19	20

the inam is valid, and the land is defined. In 1860, the Naidu Complained that the Car festival was not performed, and the patel Veerappa vader was not Contributing any- things for it. An enquiry was instituted in which it was proved that the patel was already defraying the expenses of the other Services upto the limits of the profits of the land. The Amildar thereupon decided that he Cannot ~~pay~~ be compelled to pay more. This decision was upheld by the Asstt Supdt of the Sub division, in order No 63 dated 22 July 1871 and the Complainants were referred to the Civil Courts for recovery of any amount which may be due.

A Cousin of the husband of Krishnabayi named Madhva Ramachar, Complained that she had lost Caste and was unchaste and therefore was not Conducting the Services for which the inam held by her was granted. An enquiry was made in which it was shown that the Services are being Conducted by an agent employed by the widow in Col 15 under these Circumstances

GPB—1,000 books of 2 sheets each—9-8,06.

Col: 19 Continued.

we cannot oust her. But it must I be declared that she should not alienate the quasi-religious niam in her enjoyment to the prejudice of the ultimate reversioners. See wrgie No 1371 and the depositions recorded on it.

The niam, inclusive of present demand is

9 Pag 3⅛ Tans at 6-13-2

= 63-8-7

10% - 6-5-8

69-14-3 or Rs 69-14-

The proportionate area is 16.54

To be confirmed so long as the Services are performed, on present demand 3-13-1.

excess 20-2-0

23-15-1 or Rs 24/- (Compney)

Sd V. N. Narasimhaiya

Assistant

2-12-71

Review by the Special Assistant and Confirmation by the Inam Commissioner.

20

1 Valid under Rule 1 W grant
2 The land is in the enjoyment and wages of the Managers of the Instn under col 15- Para 2 of Proceedings No 30 applies
3 To be Confirmed to the Institution in col '15 Subject to the present Jodi without fractions or Rs 3-13/ which is the amount now paid

Sd/- K N Narasimhaiyar
Asstt

22.10.74

Devadaya Jain cultivated Land 5-6-0 at 35 3-6
Conformed on Existing Jodi Rs 3 13 0

Sd/- J Buckle
Inam Supdt.

3 12 74

The inam is confirmed

(Sd) R Cole
Superintendent

27.1.73

"Copy"
[illegible]
Superintendent of Inam Settlements

30.5.1917

FROM

THE SUPERINTENDENT,
INAM SETTLEMENTS IN M

To

THE Joint SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF
H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE-
Inam : DEPT.

Dated } 30. 5. 1917
Despatched }

No. 133
Enc. one

SUBJECT.

Forwards a copy of the Inam Register, pertaining to the grant of inam lands to Sir H Dewan at Mudi, Sarab T

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Appeal No. 23 of 1916-17

Appellant.	Vs.	Respondent.
1) Devappa Wodeya, bin Siddappa Wodeya, 2) Krishna Bai and Mahabala Bhatta		Mallappa and two other Veerabhadrappa and Basavannappa.

Subject.

Appeal against order No P.C. 18 dt 28-8-1916 of the Dy Commissioner, Shimoga District, directing the disposal by open competition of the lease of inam lands in Moddi Dodda koppa Village in the Sorab Taluk in the Khata of Sri Hanumantha Devaru Temple in the Village.

Decided

GPB-500-12 8 15

re ⁊ on 17-7-1917.

The apltˢ Suddappa and Mahalabhatta were present in person and were represented through their Advocates, while the apltˢ Krishna Bai and the respdtˢ were absent.

This is one of the several cases that have come up recently to the notice of Govt in which the lessees of Inam lands endowed to a Temple which is a Muzrai institn object to Govt interfering on behalf of the Institution in disposing of the lease of the lands to the best advantage possible, of the Institution. The lands in question are clearly the property of the Temple

in as much as they are shown as Devadaya in the Inam Registers. The mere fact that the apltrs and their ancestors were allowed to cultivate them and render certain services does by no means confer any title to the lands in question upon them. They are, at best, trustees of the property and cannot claim any beneficiary interest in it. It is clear from the report of the Dy. Commissioner based upon enquiry that the apltrs have not only allowed the Temple in question to fall into a state of bad neglect and disrepair but that certain services they had bound themselves to perform are also not

being conducted Satisfactorily.
It was therefore open to
the Dy. Commr to deprive
the appellants of the possession
of the lands and put up
the lease to public auction
for the benefit of the Temple.
Govt cannot allow an
institution endowed with Inam
lands to be denied the
full benefit of the grant
on participation in increased
profits. The appeal is dismissed

Memo no 10672 dt 11 917 Empire
mrz 356-16-6

Copy to the Dy
Commr, Shimoga Dist,
with the Govt records
received with his letter
no H.C 1152 dt 28th April 1917.

B.O.

16.10.17

JGT Mrz. Secy

GPS—20 000—16 2 14

W D 2584—GPB—2,000—7-4-16

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

APPEAL No. *OF*

APPELLANT.	RESPONDENT.
Devappa Wodeya bin Guddappa Wodeya,	Mallappa and two others
Krishna Bai and - - Mahabala Bhatta	Veerabhadrappa and Basavanappa.

SUBJECT.

Appeal against order No.P.C.18 dated 28th August 1916 of the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District, directing the disposal by --- open competition of the lease of the inam lands in Modi Dodda Koppa Village in the Sorab Taluk in the Khate of Sri Hanumantha Devaru - - Temple in the Village.

DECISION.

The case was heard before Government on 17th July 1917. The Appellants Guddappa and Mahabalabhatta were present in person and - were represented though their Advocates, while the appellant Krishna Bai and the respondents were absent.

This is one of the several cases that have come up recently - to the notice of Government in which the lessees of Inam lands endowed to a temple which is a muzrai institution object to Government interfering on behalf of the Institution in disposing of the lease of the - lands to the best advantage possible of the Institution. The lands in question are clearly the property of the temple in as much as they are shown as Devadaya in the Inam Registers. The mere fact that the appellants and their ancestors were allowed to cultivate them and render certain services does by no means confer any title to the lands in - - question, upon them. They are, at best, trustees of the - - property and cannot claim any beneficiary interest in it. It is clear from - -

from the report of the Deputy Commissioner based upon enquiry that the appellants have not only allowed the temple in question to fall into a state of bad neglect and disrepair but that certain services they had bound themselves to perform are also not being conducted satisfactorily. It was therefore open tothe Deputy Commissioner - to deprive the appellants of the possession of the lands and put up the lease to public auction for the benifit of the temple. Government cannot allow an Institution endowed with Inam lands to be denied the full benifit of the grant or participation in increased - profits. The appeal is dismissed.

TMK4.

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

* No. 1068 — Dt. 11-1917
Mis. 356-16-7

(†) No. 1070 — Dt. 11-1917
Mis. 356-16-7

4/1

పైదర కంలన్నవుం తిరిగి యిచ్చి
వాంది మలయాళం వ్యక్తులు వర్తించి (2) పార
భద్రపరచి యిచ్చి వివరాలు

B-o

× No 1069 dt 9.11.917
⊕ No 1071 dt 9.11.917

Joint Secy.

17/10/17